## CHAPTER 7

## Chemical Dynamics - II

## - Chain Reactions: Hydrogen-Bromine Reaction, Pyrolysis of Acetaldehyde, Decomposition of Ethane

A German chemist, Max Bodenstein, proposed the idea of chemical chain reactions in 1913. He suggested that if two molecules react with each other, some unstable molecules may also be formed alongproducts that can further react with the reactant molecules with a much higher probability than the initial reactants. Another German chemist, Walther Nernst, explained the quantum yield phenomena in 1918 by suggesting that the photochemical reaction between hydrogen and chlorine is actually a chain reaction. He proposed that only one photon of light is actually accountable for the formation of 106 molecules of the final product. W. Nernst proposed that the incident photon breaks a chlorine molecule into two individual Cl atoms, each of which initiates a long series of stepwise reactions giving a large amount of hydrochloric acid.

A Danish scientist, Christian Christiansen; alongside a Dutch chemist, Hendrik Anthony Kramers; observed the polymer-synthesis in 1923 and conclude that a chain reaction doesn't need a photon always but can also be started by the violent collision of two molecules. They also concluded that if two or more unstable molecules are produced during this reaction, the reaction chain could branch itself to grow enormously resulting in an explosion as well. These ideas were the very initial explanations for the mechanism responsible for the chemical explosions. A more sophisticated theory was proposed by Soviet physicist Nikolay Semyonov in 1934 to explain the quantitative aspects. N. Semyonov received the Nobel Prize in 1956 for his work (along with Sir Cyril Norman Hinshelwood for his independent developments).

Steps Involved in a Typical Chain Reaction: The primary steps involved in a typical chain reaction are discussed below.
i) Initiation: This step includes the formation of chain carriers or simply the active particles usually free radicals in a photochemically or thermally induced chemical change.
ii) Propagation: This step may include many elementary reactions in a cycle in which chain carriers react to forms another chain carrier that continues the chain by entering the next elementary reaction. In other words, we can label these chain carriers as a catalyst for the overall propagation.
iii) Termination: This step includes the elementary chemical change in which the chain carriers lose their activity by combining with each other.

It is also worthy to note that the average number of times the propagation cycle is repeated is equal to the ratio of the overall reaction rate to the rate of initiation, and is called as "chain length". Furthermore, some chain reactions follow very complex rate laws with mixed or fractional order kinetics. In this section, we will discuss nature and kinetics some of the most popular chain reactions such as ethane's decomposition.

## $>$ General Kinetics of Chain Reactions

On the basis of the chain carriers produced in each propagation step, the chain reactions can primarily be classified into two categories; non-branched or the stationary reactions and branched or the non-stationary reactions. A typical chain reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\quad \text { Initiation: } & A \xrightarrow{k_{1}} R^{*} \\
\text { Propagation: } & R^{*}+A \xrightarrow{k_{2}} P+n R^{*} \\
\text { Termination: } & R^{*} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \text { destruction } \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

Where $P, R^{*}$ and $A$ represent the product, radical (or chain carrier) and reactant molecules, respectively. The symbol represents a number that equals unity for-stationary chain reactions and greater than one for nonstationary or the branched-chain reactions. Furthermore, the destruction of the radical in the termination step can occur either via its collision withanother, radieal (in gas phase) or by striking the walls of the container.

The steady-state approximation can be employed to determine the concentration of intermediate or the radical involved in the propagation step. The general procedure for which is to put the overall rate of formation equals to zero. In other words, the rate of formation of $R^{*}$ must be equal to the rate of decomposition of the same i.e.
or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Rate of formatiostifl } R^{*}=\text { Rate of disappearance of } R^{*}  \tag{4}\\
\text { WWW, dalalinstitute.com }  \tag{5}\\
k_{1}[A]=K_{3}\left[R^{*}\right]-k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]  \tag{6}\\
\left.k_{1}[A]-k_{3}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)\right]+k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]-0=\frac{d\left[R^{*}\right]}{d t}  \tag{7}\\
-k_{3}\left[R^{*}\right]+k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]=-k_{1}[A]  \tag{8}\\
{\left[R^{*}\right]=\frac{k_{1}[A]}{k_{2}(1-n)[A]+k_{3}}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now because the destruction of the radical in the termination step can occur either via its collision with another radical (in the gas phase) or via striking the walls of the container, the rate constant $k_{3}$ can be replaced by the sum of the rate constants of two i.e. $k_{3}=k_{w}+k_{g}$. After using the value of $k_{3}$ in equation (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R^{*}\right]=\frac{k_{1}[A]}{k_{2}(1-n)[A]+k_{w}+k_{g}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to apply the concept on different types of chain reactions.

## Hydrogen-Bromine Reaction

The hydrogen-bromine or the $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ reaction is a typical case of stationary type chain reactions ( $n=$ 1) for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HBr} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as
Initiation: $\quad \mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} 2 \mathrm{Br}$

Propagation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{H} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow[---]{k_{3}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{Br} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inhibition:


Termination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{Br} \xrightarrow[k_{5}]{-} \mathrm{B} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The net rate of formation of HBr must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the H and Br first i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]-k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]-k_{4}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Br}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]-k_{2}[B r]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][H B r]-2 k_{5}[B r]^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}[B r]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][H B r]=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (18), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]+0-2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=0  \tag{20}\\
2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B r]=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, rearranging equation (17) again

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}]=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]  \tag{23}\\
{[\mathrm{H}]=\frac{k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]}} \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now using the value of $[\mathrm{Br}]$ from equation (22), the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[H]=\frac{k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now rearranging equation (17) again in different mode i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}[B r]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} f+k_{4}^{-}[H][\mathrm{HBr}](\not) k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation $(16)$,we have IIIMISTIRY

After putting the value of $[\mathrm{H}]$ from equation (24), the equation (28) takes the form

Taking $k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ as common in the denominator and then canceling out the same form numerator, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider two new constants as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{\prime \prime}=k_{4} / k_{3} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above results in equation (30), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{k^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[B r_{2}\right]} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial reaction rate expression can be obtained by neglecting $[\mathrm{HBr}]$ i.e. $1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \approx 1$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}\right]_{0}=k^{\prime}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[H_{2}\right]_{0} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the order of the hydrogen-bromine reaction in the initial stage will be 1.5 only i.e. first-order w.r.t. hydrogen and half w.r.t. bromine.

## > Pyrolysis of Acetaldehyde

The pyrolysis of acetaldehyde is another typical case of stationary type chain reactions ( $n=1$ ) for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{CO} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as


The net rate of formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{d \mathrm{SINCL}}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CH}]\right. \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the [ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ] and $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]$ first i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-k_{2}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-2 k_{4}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{2}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (41), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (40), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+0-2 k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2}=0  \tag{43}\\
{\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2}} \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

After putting the value of $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ from equation (44) in equation (39), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]  \tag{45}\\
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2} \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now consider a new constant as

Using in equation (46), we get
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kineticlchain length }=\frac{R_{p}}{R_{i}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ are the rate of propagation and rate of initiation respectively. Now since the rate of propagation is simply equal to the overall rate law i.e. equation (48), the rate of initiation can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the values of $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ from equation (48,50) in equation (49), we get the expression for kinetic chain length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2}}{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k}{k_{1}}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Decomposition of Ethane

The decomposition of ethane is another typical case of stationary type chain reactions ( $n=1$ ) for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as
Initiation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+{ }^{\cdot} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Propagation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{4}+{ }^{\cdot} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Termination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H} \xrightarrow{-k_{5}} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The net rate of decomposition of ethane must be equal to the rate of formation ethylene i.e.

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the [ H$],\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ first i.e. $\backslash$ SINCE 2012

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=2 / 2 k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], \mathrm{R}_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{4}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{5}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{4}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{5}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging equation (60), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 k_{1}-k_{2}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=0  \tag{63}\\
{\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=\frac{2 k_{1}}{k_{2}}} \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

Rearranging equation (62), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{4}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{5}[\cdot \mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=k_{3}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]  \tag{65}\\
{[\cdot \mathrm{H}]=\frac{k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{5}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}} \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

After putting the value of $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{H}]$ from equation $(64,66)$ in equation $(61)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{2}\left(\frac{2 k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{4}\left(\frac{k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{5}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}\right)\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]  \tag{67}\\
-k_{5}\left(\frac{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+k_{5}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}\right)\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=0
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3} k_{5}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2}-k_{1} k_{5}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]-k_{4} k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2}=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (68) is quadric in nature and can be solved to give


Now putting the result in equation (59), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{d\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{3} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{1} k_{5}+\left(k_{1}^{2} k_{5}^{2}+4 k_{1} k_{5} k_{3} k_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\text { SINCI 2012k } k_{5} k_{3}}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, defining a new constant as

$$
-\frac{d\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{5}+\frac{\left(k_{1}^{2} k_{5}^{2}+4 k_{1} k_{5} k_{3} k_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\operatorname{LCL}\left[\square \mid 2 k_{5}\right.}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{} \begin{align*}
& \text { new constant as } \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{k_{1} k_{5}+\left(k_{1}^{2} k_{5}^{2}+4 k_{1} k_{5} k_{3} k_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 k_{5}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now owing to the very small rate of initiation step, all the terms $k_{1} k_{5}$ and $k_{1}^{2} k_{5}^{2}$ can be neglected i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\left(4 k_{1} k_{5} k_{3} k_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 k_{5}}=\left(\frac{k_{1} k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation (71) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kinetic chain length for the same can be obtained by dividing the rate of formation of the product by rate of initiation step i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{R_{p}}{R_{i}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ are the rate of propagation and rate of initiation respectively. Now since the rate of propagation is simply equal to the overall rate law i.e. equation (74), the rate of initiation can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right] \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the values of $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ from equation $(74,76)$ in equation (75), we get the expression for kinetic chain length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k}{k_{1}}=\frac{1}{k_{1}}\left(\frac{k_{1} k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{1} k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Photochemical Reactions (Hydrogen-Bromine \& Hydrogen-Chlorine Reactions)

There are many chemical reactions which occur also when the reactants are exposed to light. These reactions are called as photochemical reactions. Now before we discuss the nature and types of photochemical reactions, we need to discuss two laws first; the first is Grotthuss-Draper law while the second one is StarkEinstein law.

The first law of photochemistry was given by Theodor Grotthuss and John W. Draper, and therefore, got its unique name.

The first law of photochemistry states that when the light is allowed to strike the reactions mixture, it can be partially transmitted, reflected and absorbed; and it is the absorbed portion of the incident light which is responsible to carry out any chemical change.

The second law of photochemistry was given by Johannes Stark and Albert Einstein, and therefore, is popularly known as Stark-Einstein law.

The second law of photochemistry states that one photon of light must be absorbed for one molecule to get activated in a photochemical reaction by a chemical system.
The quantum yield of a reaction is simply the ratio of number of molecules reacting in a given time to the number of photons absorbed in the same time i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Quantum yield }(\phi)=\frac{\text { Number of moles of reactant reacting per second }}{\text { Number of einsteins absorbed per second }} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where one einstein represents one mole of photons $\left(6.022 \times 10^{23}\right)$. The quantum yield is of two types in photochemical reactions; one for the primary process and the second one for the secondary process. During the course of the primary process, light is absorbed by the reactant and the quantum yield of this part is always unity. Therefore, we can say that the number of radiation-absorbing molecules consumed per unit time in the primary process is equal to intensity of absorbed radiation i.e. $I_{a b}$ (number of photons striking the sample per unit time). For instance, consider the photo-decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Br}_{2}+h v \xrightarrow{k} 2 \mathrm{Br} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the quantum yield will be
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\frac{\text { Number of moles of } B r_{2} \text { decomposed per unit time }}{\text { Number of einsteins absorbed per unit time }} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$
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If rate of formation of Br is asked, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{d\left[B r_{2}\right]}{d t}=+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d[B r]}{d t}=k\left[B r_{2}\right]=I_{a b}  \tag{86}\\
\frac{d[B r]}{d t}=2 k\left[B r_{2}\right]=2 I_{a b} \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

Two of the most common examples of photochemical reactions are hydrogen-bromine and hydrogenchlorine reactions whose kinetics and nature will be discussed in this section.

## Kinetics of Photochemical Reaction Between Hydrogen and Bromine

The hydrogen-bromine or the $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ reaction is a typical case of photochemical reactions for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow{h \nu} 2 \mathrm{HBr} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is a chain reaction, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as


Propagation: $\quad \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{H}$


Termination:


The net rate of formation of HBr must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (info dalalinstitute.com, }+91-9802825820 \text { ) } \\
& \frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right] a+i k_{3}[H]\left[\mathrm{Br} r_{2}\right] \mathrm{e} k_{4}^{3}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}] \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the H and Br first i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}[B r]\left[H_{2}\right]-k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]=k_{4}[H][H B r]=0 \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Br}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]-k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][\mathrm{HBr}]-2 k_{5}[\mathrm{Br}]^{2}=0 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (96), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}[B r]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][H B r]=0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (97), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]+0-2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=0  \tag{99}\\
2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right] \tag{100}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B r]=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, rearranging equation (96) again

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][\mathrm{HBr}]=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]  \tag{102}\\
{[\mathrm{H}]=\frac{k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br} r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]}} \tag{103}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now using the value of $[\mathrm{Br}]$ from equation (101), the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[H]=\frac{k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now rearranging equation (96) again in different mode i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} f+k_{4}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}] \Rightarrow k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]\right. \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (95), we have IISMISTIRY

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {De }}^{\text {(info@dalald }[\mathrm{HBr}] / \mathrm{dt} \Theta 2 k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right] 02825820 \text { ) }} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

After putting the value of $[H]$ from equation (104), the equation (107) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}} / \overline{\mathrm{r}} / \frac{2 k_{3} k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{3 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ as common in the denominator and then canceling out the same form numerator, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]=2 I_{a b}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=\left(I_{a b}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (109), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(I_{a b} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the rate of hydrogen-bromine reaction is directly proportional to the square root of the intensity of absorbed radiation.

## Kinetics of Photochemical Reaction Between Hydrogen and Chlorine

The hydrogen-bromine or the $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ reaction is a typical case of photochemical reactions for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \xrightarrow{h \nu} 2 \mathrm{HCl} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is a chain reaction, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as


Propagation: $\quad \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{H}$

## Termination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}=k_{3} \mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{Cl} \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{Cl} \text { (at the wafls) } \mathrm{Hm} \frac{k_{4}}{\mathrm{Aflce}} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

The net rate of formation of HCl must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expressiont weed to apply the steady-state approximation on the H and Cl first i.e.

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}[\mathrm{Cl}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]-k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Cl} l_{2}\right]=0 \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (118), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}[\mathrm{Cl}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]+k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=0 \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (119), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k_{1}\left[C l_{2}\right]+0-2 k_{4}[C l]=0 \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k_{1}\left[C l_{2}\right]=2 k_{4}[C l] \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
[C l]=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{4}}\left[C l_{2}\right] \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, rearranging equation (118) again

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}[\mathrm{Cl}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]-k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=0 \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{H}]=\frac{k_{2}[\mathrm{Cl}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]} \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the value of [Cl] from equation (123), the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[H]=\frac{k_{2}\left[H_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[C t_{2}\right]} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{4}}\left[C l_{2}\right]=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}\left[H_{2}\right]}{k_{3} k_{4}} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using values of $[\mathrm{Cl}]$ and $[\mathrm{H}]$ from equation $(123,126)$ in equation $(117)_{2}$, we have
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HCl}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{4}}\left[G l_{2}\right]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3} \frac{k_{1} k_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3} k_{4}}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $2 k_{1}\left[C l_{2}\right]=2 I_{a b}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{k}{1}\left[C t_{2}\right]=1_{a b} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (129), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HCl}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{k_{2} \bar{I}_{a b}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{4}}+\frac{k_{2} I_{a b}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{4}} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HCl}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2} I_{a b}\left[H_{2}\right]}{k_{4}} \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can conclude that the rate of hydrogen-bromine reaction is directly proportional to the intensity of absorbed radiation. It is also worthy to note that the quantum yield of the hydrogen-chlorine reaction is much higher than that of hydrogen-bromine reaction which may simply be attributed to the exothermic nature of the second step in $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ reaction which makes it spontaneous in nature.

## General Treatment of Chain Reactions (Ortho-Para Hydrogen Conversion and Hydrogen-Bromine Reactions)

In this section, we will discuss the general treatment of some common chain reactions like ortho-para hydrogen conversion and hydrogen-bromine reactions. To do so, we may also recall some concepts discussed earlier in this chapter.

## > Ortho-Para Hydrogen Conversion

The ortho-hydrogen can convert into para-hydrogen and can easily be measured in the temperature range of $700-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The conversion is completely homogeneous in nature and the order of the conversion is 1.5 as total. The widely accepted mechanism is given below.

$$
\begin{gather*}
p-\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}  \tag{132}\\
H+p-\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{H}+o-\mathrm{H}_{2} \tag{133}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equilibrium constant for the above-mentioned molecular-atomic equilibria given by equation (132) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=\frac{[H]^{2}}{\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}  \tag{134}\\
{[H]^{2}=K\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}  \tag{135}\\
{[H]=K^{1 / 2}\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{136}
\end{gather*}
$$

The net rate of conversion of $p-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{2}\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right][H] \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the value of [ $H$ ] from equation (136) in equation (137), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{2}\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right] K^{1 / 2}\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{2} K^{1 / 2}\left[p-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3 / 2} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

The overall activation energy of the conversion is $E=E_{2}+D / 2$ where $E_{2}$ is the activation energy of the second step while D is the dissociation energy of dihydrogen molecule.

## Hydrogen-Bromine Reactions

The hydrogen-bromine or the $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ reaction is a typical case of stationary type chain reactions ( $n=$ 1) for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HBr} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as
Initiation: $\quad \mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} 2 \mathrm{Br}$

Propagation:

$$
\mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{H}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HBr} \xrightarrow[1]{ } \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br} \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inhibition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow[----]{k_{3}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{Br} \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Termination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{Br} \xrightarrow{--k_{5}} \mathrm{BI} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

The net rate of formation of HBr must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the H and Br first i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]-k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]-k_{4}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}] \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Br}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]-k_{2}[B r]\left[H_{2}\right]+k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][H B r]-2 k_{5}[B r]^{2} \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (145), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]+k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Br} r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}]=0 \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (146), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]+0-2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=0  \tag{148}\\
2 k_{5}[B r]^{2}=2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right] \tag{149}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B r]=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, rearranging equation (145) again

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{3}[H]\left[B r_{2}\right]+k_{4}[H][H B r]=k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]  \tag{151}\\
{[H]=\frac{k_{2}[\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]}} \tag{152}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now using the value of $[\mathrm{Br}]$ from equation (150), the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[H]=\frac{k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now rearranging equation (145) again in different mode i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}[B r]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} f+k_{4}^{-}[\mathrm{H}][\mathrm{HBr}]+k_{3}[\mathrm{H}]\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]\right. \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (144), we, have IEMISTIRY


After putting the value of $[\mathrm{H}]$ from equation (152) the equation (156) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leftrightharpoons \frac{2 k_{3} k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}[\mathrm{Br})_{2}^{3 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\left\langle S_{2} k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]+k_{4}[\mathrm{HBr}]\right.} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $k_{3}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ as common in the denominator and then canceling out the same form numerator, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]} \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider two new constants as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{\prime \prime}=k_{4} / k_{3} \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using in equation (158), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{k^{\prime}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[B r_{2}\right]} \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial reaction rate expression can be obtained by neglecting $[\mathrm{HBr}]$ i.e. $1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \approx 1$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}\right]_{0}=k^{\prime}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[H_{2}\right]_{0} \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the order of the hydrogen-bromine reaction in the initial stage will be 1.5 only i.e. first-order w.r.t. hydrogen and half w.r.t. bromine.

However, if the initiation occurs by the photon of the incident light, the expression for the overall rate can slightly be modified. Recall the initiation step again via photochemical decomposition i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Br}_{2}+h v \xrightarrow{k} 2 \mathrm{Br} \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the quantum yield for this primary change will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \equiv \frac{-d\left[B r_{2}\right] / d t}{-I_{a b}} \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I_{a b}$ is the intensity of absorbed radiation. Since $\phi$ fly for primary process, we have


For the rate of formation of Br , we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{d\left[B r_{2}\right]}{d t}+\frac{1 d[B r]}{2}=d \mathrm{~d}\left[B r_{2}\right]=I_{a b}  \tag{167}\\
\frac{d[B r]}{d t}=2 k\left[B r_{2}\right]=2 I_{a b} \tag{168}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now since $2 k_{1}\left[B r_{2}\right]=2 I_{a b}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=\left(I_{a b}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (158), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(I_{a b} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[B r_{2}\right]} \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the rate of hydrogen-bromine reaction is directly proportional to the square root of the intensity of absorbed radiation.

## Apparent Activation Energy of Chain Reactions

In order to understand the activation energy profile of chain reactions, consider a typical chain reaction such as the synthesis of hydrogen bromide from its elements.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HBr} \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Initiation: } & \mathrm{Br}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} 2 \mathrm{Br} \\
\text { Propagation: } & \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{H} \\
& \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \mathrm{HBr}+\mathrm{Br} \\
\text { Inhibition: } & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HBr} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br} \\
\text { Termination: } & 2 \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{M} \xrightarrow{k_{5}} \mathrm{M}^{*}+\mathrm{Br}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

It is obvious from the stepwise mechanism that the reaction is actually initiated by the formation of a free radical when one $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ molecule collides with some other molecule M (most another $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ). All this just requires a sufficiently high temperature of the gas. Another mode of formation of Br free radicals is the photochemical activation in which the sample is exposed to light. In second and third reactions, the consumption of a free radical generates another, and therefore, propagates the chain. The same happens in the fourth step, but the molecule of the product is destroyed, and thus inhibited the whole process. Now if these first four steps occur, then the chain would continue for infinite. However, during the course of the $5^{\text {th }}$ step, two Br combine together, and thus terminating the chain. The molecule $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ in the last step represents a thermally-excited which dissipates its energy to other molecules quickly.

The overall rate laws for such chain reactions are quite complex and usually have non-integral orders. The net rate of formation of HBr can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+\left(k_{4} / k_{3}\right)[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]} \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider two new constants as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=2 k_{2}\left(k_{1} / k_{5}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad k^{\prime \prime}=k_{4} / k_{3} \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using in equation (177), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{k^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[B r_{2}\right]} \tag{179}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial reaction rate expression can be obtained by neglecting $[\mathrm{HBr}]$ i.e. $1+k^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{HBr}] /\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \approx 1$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}\right]_{0}=k^{\prime}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[H_{2}\right]_{0} \tag{180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the order of the hydrogen-bromine reaction in the initial stage will be 1.5 only i.e. first-order w.r.t. hydrogen and half w.r.t. bromine. Expanding equation (180, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d[\mathrm{HBr}]}{\mathrm{dt}}\right]_{0}=2 k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[B r_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0} \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the overall rate constant can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {overall }}=2 k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assuming $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{5}$ are the activation energies for first (initiation), second (propagation) and fifth (termination) steps; we can write general expressions for individtual rate constants as

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=A e^{S_{E_{1}} / R T} \tag{183}
\end{align*}
$$

After putting values of $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{5}$ from equation (183-185) in equation (182), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.k_{\text {overall }}=2 A e^{-E_{2} / R T}\left(\frac{A e^{-E_{1} / R T}}{A e_{,}^{-E_{5} / R T}}\right)^{1 / 22}\right) 12 A e^{-E_{2} / R T}\left(e^{-E_{1}+E_{5} / R T}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{186}\\
& k_{\text {overall }}=2 A e^{\frac{-E_{2}}{R T}} e^{\frac{-E_{1}+E_{5}}{2 R T}}=2 A e^{\frac{-2 E_{2}-E_{1}+E_{5}}{-2 R T}}=2 A e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(2 E_{2}+E_{1}-E_{5}\right)}{R T}} \tag{187}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the above result with Arrhenius rate constant $k=A e^{-E_{a} / R T}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 E_{2}+E_{1}-E_{5}\right)=E_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1}-E_{5}\right) \tag{188}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{5}$ are the activation energies for initiation, propagation and termination steps; the equation (188) can be written in more general form i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}=E_{p}-\frac{1}{n}\left(E_{i}-E_{t}\right) \tag{189}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $n$ is the order of termination step w.r.t. chain carrier.

## * Chain Length

The chain length for any chemical chain reaction may simply be defined as the average number of times that the closed cycle of chain propagation steps is repeated.

In other words, the chain length of any chain reaction is equal to the ration of the rate of the overall reaction to the rate of the initiation step in which the active particles are generated. Mathematically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{R_{p}}{R_{i}} \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ are the rate of propagation and rate of initiation respectively. The concept can be well understood by taking the example of thermal decomposition of acetaldehyde and ethane.

## $>$ Chain length in Case of Thermal Decomposition of Acetaldehyde

The thermal decomposition of acetaldehyde is a typical case of stationary type chain reactions for which the overall reaction can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{CO} \tag{191}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Initiation: } & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CHO} \\
\text { Propagation: } & \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{4}+{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO} \\
& \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CO} \\
\text { Termination: } & \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The net rate of formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2} \tag{196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (192), the rate of initiation can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{197}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the values of $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ from equation $(196,197)$ in equation $(190)$, we get the kinetic chain length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2}}{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]}=\frac{k}{k_{1}}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{198}
\end{equation*}
$$

## > Chain Length in Case of Dehydrogenation of Ethane

The dehydrogenation of ethane is another typical case of stationary type chain reactions for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \tag{199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as


The net rate of decomposition of ethane will be
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Since the rate of propagation is simply equal to the overall rate law i.e. equation (205), the rate of initiation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right\} \tag{206}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the values of $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ from equation $(205,206)$ in equation (190), we get the expression for kinetic chain length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{\left(\frac{k_{1} k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{5}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]} \tag{207}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{1}{k_{1}}\left(\frac{k_{1} k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}  \tag{208}\\
& \text { Kinetic chain length }=\left(\frac{k_{3} k_{4}}{k_{1} k_{5}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{209}
\end{align*}
$$

## Rice-Herzfeld Mechanism of Organic Molecules Decomposition (Acetaldehyde)

In 1934, Frank O. Rice and Karl F. Herzfeld performed an extensive study on the chain reactions and developed special mechanics to account the observed rate laws. In the honor of researchers, this mechanism is popularly known as Rice-Herzfeld mechanism. The conceptual foundation of this mechanism can easily be understood by taking the example of an organic compound, acetaldehyde.

The pyrolysis of acetaldehyde is a typical case of stationary type chain reactions ( $n=1$ ) for which the overall reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{CO} \tag{210}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as

Initiation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{1}}{ }^{\cdot} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+{ }^{\cdot} \mathrm{CHO} \tag{211}
\end{equation*}
$$

Propagation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{4}+{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO} \tag{212}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CO} \tag{213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Termination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \tag{214}
\end{equation*}
$$

The net rate of formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ must be equal to the sum of the rate of formation and the rate of disappearance of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in order to obtain the overall rate expression, we need to apply the steady-state approximation on the [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ] and [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ ] first i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-k_{2}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-2 k_{4}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2} \tag{216}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=0=k_{2}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]-k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{217}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking negative both side of equation (217), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+k_{3}\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right]=0 \tag{218}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above result in equation (216), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]+0-2 k_{4}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{2}=0  \tag{219}\\
{\left[\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2}} \tag{220}
\end{gather*}
$$

After putting the value of $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ from equation (220) in equation (215), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]  \tag{221}\\
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 k_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2} \tag{222}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now consider a new constant as

Using in equation (222), we get

The kinetic chain length foin the same cani be obtained by dividingtherafe of formation of the product by rate of initiation step i.e.
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Kineticlchain length $=\frac{R_{p}}{R_{i}}$
Where $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ are the rate of propagation and rate of initiation respectively. Now since the rate of propagation is simply equal to the overall rate law i.e. equation (224), the rate of initiation can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right] \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the values of $R_{p}$ and $R_{i}$ from equation $(224,226)$ in equation (225), we get the expression for kinetic chain length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{3 / 2}}{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]} \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Kinetic chain length }=\frac{k}{k_{1}}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Branching Chain Reactions and Explosions ( $\mathbf{H}_{2}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Reaction)

On the basis of the chain carriers produced in each propagation step, the chain reactions can primarily be classified into two categories; non-branched or the stationary reactions and branched or the non-stationary reactions. A typical chain reaction can be written as given below.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Initiation: } & A \xrightarrow{k_{1}} R^{*} \\
\text { Propagation: } & R^{*}+A \xrightarrow{k_{2}} P+n R^{*} \\
\text { Termination: } & R^{*} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \text { destruction } \tag{231}
\end{array}
$$

Where $P, R^{*}$ and $A$ represent the product, radical (or chain carrier) and reactant molecules, respectively. The symbol represents a number that equals unity for stationary chain reactions and greater than one for nonstationary or the branched-chain reactions. Furthermore, the destruction of the radical in the termination step can occur either via its collision with another radical (in gas phase) or by striking the walls of the container.

The steady-state approximation can be employed to determine the concentration of intermediate or the radical involved in the propagation step. The general procedure for which is to put the overall rate of formation equals to zero. In other words, the rate of formation of $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ must be equal to the rate of decomposition of the same i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Rate of formation of } R^{*}=\text { Rate of disappearance of } R^{*}  \tag{232}\\
& \qquad k_{1}[A]=k_{3}\left[R^{*}\right]-k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]  \tag{233}\\
& k_{1}[A]-k_{3}\left[R^{*}\right]+k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]=0=\frac{d\left[R^{*}\right]}{d t} \tag{234}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
-k_{3}\left[R^{*}\right]+k_{2}(n-1)\left[R^{*}\right][A]=-k_{1}[A]  \tag{235}\\
{\left[R^{*}\right]=\frac{k_{1}[A]}{k_{2}(1-n)[A]+k_{3}}} \tag{236}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now because the destruction of the radical in the termination step can occur either via its collision with another radical (in gas phase) or via striking the walls of the container, the rate constant $k_{3}$ can be replaced by the sum of the rate constants of two i.e. $k_{3}=k_{w}+k_{g}$. After using the value of $k_{3}$ in equation (236), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R^{*}\right]=\frac{k_{1}[A]}{k_{2}(1-n)[A]+k_{w}+k_{g}} \tag{237}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R^{*}\right]=\frac{k_{1}[A]}{-k_{2}(n-1)[A]+k_{w}+k_{g}} \tag{238}
\end{equation*}
$$

For non-stationary or branched chain reactions, more and more radicals are generated in each successive step ( $n>1$ ). In other words, for every radical consumed in a chain propagation step, more than one chain carriers or radicals are generated. Therefore, the possibility of explosion arises when

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}(n-1)[A]=k_{w}+k_{g} \tag{239}
\end{equation*}
$$

The situation can be explained in terms of equation (238) because the abovementioned condition will make the denominator zero, and therefore, making radical concentration to approach infinite. Now because the rate is usually proportional to radical's concentration, a very high rate may lead to an explosion.

## > Conditions for Different Types of Explosion limits

However, it is also worthy to mention that the occurrence of explosion depends upon the experimental temperature and pressure. Typically, three explosion limits/are observed as the pressure of the reacting system is raised. To understand the different explosion limits, the behavior of the denominator in equation (238) must be analysed with pressure.

1. The first explosion limit: When the pressure is very low, the movement of chain carriers towards the wall of the container is very fast resulting in a very large rate of radicals' destruetion at walls i.e. high $k_{w}$. Conversely, the probability of radicals colliding with each other at yery dow pressure resulting in a very low value of $k_{g}$. Therefore, we can conclude that the denominator in equation (238) has a sufficiently large positive value at low pressure giving smooth progression of the reaction without any explosion. However, with the rise in pressure, $k_{w}$ declines very rapidly than the increase in $k_{g}$. When a certain pressure value is achieved, the explosion condition is satisfied i.e.

Which is the first explosion limit.
2. The second explosion limit: The first explosion limit exists over a wide range of pressure. However, if the pressure is raised continuously, the movement of chain carriers towards the wall of the container is more and more hindered resulting in a very small rate of radicals' destruction at walls i.e. low $k_{w}$. Conversely, the probability of radicals colliding with each other further increases with pressure resulting in the very large value of $k_{g}$. Eventually, we can conclude that the denominator in equation (238) again becomes sufficiently positive giving a steady progression of the reaction. Which is the second explosion limit.
3. The third explosion limit: After the second explosion limit, the steady reaction-rate continues over a range of pressure. However, if the pressure is raised continuously, the heat produced in various propagating steps would not be able to leave the system at a rate equal to the rate at which it is produced. Therefore, this thermal effect will keep supporting the rate, eventually leading to a thermally-induced explosion. Which is the third explosion limit.


Figure 1. The variation of reaction with pressure in branding chaio reactions (left) and variation of relative pressure with time on a logarithmic scale (right).


Reaction Profile of $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Exptosion
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The reaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a typical example in which all three explosion limits are observed.
The net reaction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \vec{\longrightarrow} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{241}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the elementary steps for the same can be proposed as

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Initiation: } & \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \\
\text { Propagation: } & \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{HO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}^{\cdot} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{-}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \mathrm{HO}+\mathrm{O}^{\cdot} \\
& \mathrm{O}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{5}} \mathrm{HO}+\mathrm{H}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

The step $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ produce more radicals than they consume, producing an explosion.

## Kinetics of (One Intermediate) Enzymatic Reaction: Michaelis-Menten Treatment

In 1901, a French Chemist, Victor Henri, proposed that enzyme reactions are actually initiated by a bond formed between the substrate and the enzyme. The concept was further developed by German researches Leonor Michaelis and Canadian scientist Maud Menten, who examined the kinetics of an enzymatic reaction mechanism of invertase. The final model of Michaelis and Menten's treatment was published in 1913 and gained immediate popularity among the scientific community.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E+S \underset{k_{-1}}{\stackrel{k_{1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} E S \xrightarrow{k_{2}} P \tag{247}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $E$ is the enzyme that binds to a substrate S , and forms a complex $E S$. This enzyme-substrate complex then turns into product $P$. The overall rate of product formation should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{d[P]}{d t}=r=k_{2}[E S] \tag{248}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[E S]$ is intermediate, the steady-state approximation can be applied i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Rate of formation of }[E S]=\text { Rate of decomposition of }[E S]  \tag{249}\\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
k_{1}[E][S]=k_{-1}[E S]+k_{2}[E S] \\
k_{1}[E][S]=\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right)[E S] \\
{[E S]=\frac{k_{1}[E][S]}{k_{-1}+k_{2}}}
\end{array} \tag{250}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, defining a new parameter named as Michaelis- Menten constant $\left(K_{m}\right)$ with the following expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}=\frac{k_{-1}+k_{2}}{k_{1}} \tag{253}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (252) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E S]=\frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}} \tag{254}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we put the $[E S]$ concentration given above into equation (248), we will get the rate of enzymecatalyzed reaction in terms of substrate concentration and enzyme left unused i.e. [E]. This is again a problem that can be solved if we obtain the rate expression in terms of total enzyme concentration i.e. [ $E_{0}$ ]; which would make the calculation of catalytic efficiency much easier. In order to do so, recall the total enzyme concentration mathematically i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+[E S] \tag{255}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $[E]$ is the enzyme concentration left unused whereas $[E S]$ represents the enzyme concentration that is bound with the substrate. Rearranging equation (253), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E]=\left[E_{0}\right]-[E S] \tag{256}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the value of $[E]$ from equation (256) in equation (254), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E S]=\frac{\left\{\left[E_{0}\right]-[E S]\right\}[S]}{K_{m}} \tag{257}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging for $[E S]$ again

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E S]=\frac{\left[E_{0}\right][S]-[E S][S]}{\bar{K}_{m}} \tag{258}
\end{equation*}
$$

After putting the value of $[E S]$ from above equation into equation (248), we get

If the rate of the enzyme-catalyzed reaction is recorded in the very initial stage, the substrate concentration can also be replaced by the initial substrate concentration i.e. $\left[s_{0}\right]$. Therefore, the initial enzyme-catalyzed rate $\left(r_{0}\right)$ should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{263}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is the well-known Michaelis-Menten equation.
Although the equation (263) is the complete form of the Michaelis-Menten equation that has measurable quantities like $\left[E_{0}\right]$ and $\left[S_{0}\right]$, the more popular form of the same includes the maximum initial rate. At very large initial substrate concentration, the $K_{m}$ can simply be neglected in comparison to [ $S_{0}$ ] in the denominator, which makes the equation (263) to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\max }=\frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left[S_{0}\right]}=k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right] \tag{264}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]=r_{\max }$ in equation (263), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{265}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is the most popular form of the Michaelis-Menten equation. The plot of the initial reaction rate for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction is given below.


Figure 2. The variation of initialreactiongrate as a function of substrate concentration for an enzymecatafyzed reaction.
> Order of Enzyme Catalysed Reactions
The reaction kinetics of the enzyme-catalyzed reactions can be well understood by looking at the general form of Michaelis-Menten equation.
i) At high $\left[\mathrm{S}_{0}\right]$ : When initial substrate concentration is very high, $K_{m}$ can be neglected, and the equation (263) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left[S_{0}\right]}=k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right] \tag{266}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the reaction will be zero-order w.r.t. substrate concentration and first order w.r.t the total enzyme concertation.
ii) At low $\left[\mathrm{S}_{0}\right]$ : When initial substrate concentration is low, $\left[\mathrm{S}_{0}\right]$ can be neglected, and the equation (263) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2}}{K_{m}}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right] \tag{267}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the reaction will be the first-order w.r.t. substrate concentration and first order w.r.t the total enzyme concertation as well.

## $>$ Calculation of Catalytic Efficiency

The catalytic efficiency of enzyme-catalyzed reactions is simply the maximum overall reaction rate per unit of enzyme concentration. When initial substrate concentration is very high, $K_{m}$ can be neglected, and the equation (263) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\max } \equiv \frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left.\left[S_{0}\right]\right]\left[{ }_{2}\right]\left[/ E_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\right.}  \tag{268}\\
& k_{2}^{=}=\frac{r_{\max }}{\left[E_{0}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $k_{2}$ is simply equal to the catalytic efficiency of enzyme-catalyzed reactions.
> Calculation of Michaelis-Menten Constant
The Michaelis-Mentenconstant of enzyme-catalyzed reactions can simply be calculated once the maximum initial reaction rate is knownilWhen initial sübstratelconcentration is very high, $K_{m}$ can be neglected, and the equation (263) takes the form

After using the $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]=r_{\max }$ in equation (263), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{271}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the initial reaction rate is half of the maximum initial rate i.e. $r_{0}=r_{\max } / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{r_{\max }}{2}=\frac{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]}  \tag{272}\\
K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]=2\left[S_{0}\right]  \tag{273}\\
K_{m}=\left[S_{0}\right] \tag{274}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, the concentration at which the initial rate in half of the maximum initial rate, will be equal to $K_{m}$.

## Evaluation of Michaelis's Constant for Enzyme-Substrate Binding by Lineweaver-Burk Plot and Eadie-Hofstee Methods

The conventional approach to determine the Michaelis's constant ( $K_{m}$ ) involve the plot of initial reaction rate vs initial substrate concentration. Then the Michaelis-Menten constant of enzyme-catalyzed reactions can simply be calculated once the maximum initial reaction rate is known. The typical MichaelisMenten is used to fit the data is given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{275}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $r_{\max }=k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]$ is the maximum initial rate at $\left[E_{0}\right]$ enzyme concentration whereas $\left[S_{0}\right]$ is the initial substrate concentration. Finally, the concentration at which the initial rate in half of the maximum initial rate, will be equal to $K_{m}$.

This conventional method, however, suffers from serious limitations like the need for lots of data points until the initial rate becomes constant. Therefore, two other methods are quite popular to find Michaelis's constant without getting the actual plateau in the initial reaction rate. In this section, we will discuss two such methods for the evaluation of Michaelis's constant for enzyme-substrate binding.

## > The Lineweaver-Burk Plot to Evaluate Michaelis's Constant

In order to understand the Lineweaver-Burk method for the determination of the maximum initial rate $\left(r_{\max }\right)$ and Michaelis's constant, we need to rearrange the general Michaelis-Menten equation first i.e. the reciprocal of equation (275) as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{276}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{\left[S_{0}\right]}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{277}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{278}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is the equation of the straight line $(y=m x+c)$. Therefore, if we plot the reciprocal of initial reaction rate vs the reciprocal of initial substrate concentration; the slope and intercepts will give $K_{m} / r_{\max }$ and $1 / r_{\max }$, respectively.


Figure 3. The Lineweaver-burk plot for enzyme-catalyzed reactions to evaluate the maximum initial rate


It is also worthy to mention that if the intercept is further extrapolated, it will lead to the intercept on the $x$-axis that equals to $-1 / K_{m}$.

## The Eadie-Hofstee Plot to Evaluate Michaelis's Constant

In order to understand the Eadie-Hofstee method for the determination of the maximum initial rate $\left(r_{\max }\right)$ and Michaelis's constant, we need to rearrange thel general Michaelis-Menten equation first i.e. the reciprocal of equation (275) as given below. $\qquad$ -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{279}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{\left[S_{0}\right]}{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{280}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{281}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $r_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{r_{0}}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{r_{0}}{r_{\max }} \tag{282}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging for $r_{0} /\left[S_{0}\right]$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}}-\frac{r_{0}}{r_{\max }}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{r_{0}}{\left[S_{0}\right]}  \tag{283}\\
\frac{r_{0}}{\left[S_{0}\right]}=\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}} \frac{r_{\max }}{K_{m}}-\frac{r_{0}}{r_{\max }} \frac{r_{\max }}{K_{m}}  \tag{284}\\
\frac{r_{0}}{\left[S_{0}\right]}=\frac{r_{\max }}{K_{m}}-\frac{r_{0}}{K_{m}}  \tag{285}\\
\frac{r_{0}}{\left[S_{0}\right]}=-\frac{1}{K_{m}} r_{0} \pm \frac{r_{\max }}{K_{m}} \tag{286}
\end{gather*}
$$

Which is the equation of the straight line $\left(y=m x_{1}+c\right)$. Therefore, if we plot the ratio of initial reaction rate to initial substrate concentration vs the initial reaction rate; the slope and intercepts will give $-1 / K_{m}$ and $r_{\max } / K_{m}$, respectively.


Figure 4. The Eadie-Hofstee plot for enzyme-catalyzed reactions to evaluate the maximum initial rate $\left(r_{\text {max }}\right)$ and Michaelis's constant.

It is also worthy to mention that if the intercept is further extrapolated, it will lead to the intercept on the $x$-axis that equals to $r_{\text {max }}$.

## * Competitive and Non-Competitive Inhibition

An enzyme inhibitor is a compound that binds to an enzyme and decreases its overall activity, and the phenomenon is typically known as "enzyme inhibition". Two of the most common enzyme inhibition processes will be discussed in this section.

## $>$ Competitive Inhibition

In the case of competitive enzyme inhibition, the binding of an inhibitor prevents the binding of the substrate and the enzyme. This type of behavior is actually achieved by blocking the binding site of the target molecule (the active site) by some means. The competitive enzyme inhibition can be classified into two types as discussed below.

1. Fully competitive inhibition: The fully competitive inhibition occurs when an enzyme ( $E$ ) binds with the substrate $(S)$ and inhibitor ( $I$ ) separately, and it is only the enzyme-substrate complex ( $E S$ ) that will convert into the product. This whole process can be described mathematically as

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
E+S & \stackrel{k_{1}}{\rightleftharpoons} \\
k_{-1} & E S  \tag{288}\\
& \stackrel{k_{2}}{\rightarrow} E+P \\
& E+I \\
& \stackrel{k_{3}}{\rightleftharpoons} E I \\
& k_{-3}
\end{array}
$$

After applying the steady-state approximation on $E S$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d[E S]}{d t}=k_{1}[E][S]-k_{-1}[E S]-k_{2}[E S]=0  \tag{289}\\
k_{1}[E][S]=k_{-1}[E S]+k_{2}[E S]  \tag{290}\\
{[E S]=\frac{k_{1}[E][S]}{k_{-1}+k_{2}}} \tag{291}
\end{gather*}
$$

When $\left[S_{0}\right] \gg\left[E_{0}\right]$, we can assume $\left[S_{0}\right] \approx[S]$, and also

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+[E S]+[E I]}  \tag{292}\\
& {[E]=\left[E_{0}\right]-[E S]-[E I]} \tag{293}
\end{align*}
$$

Now recalling the equilibrium constant for inhibition equilibria i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{3}=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{-3}}=\frac{[E I]}{[E][I]}  \tag{294}\\
K_{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{1}{K_{3}}=\frac{[E][I]}{[E I]} \tag{295}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, we can say

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E I]=\frac{[E][I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{296}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the value of [EI] from equation (296) into equation (293), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[E]=\left[E_{0}\right]-[E S]-\frac{[E][I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}}}  \tag{297}\\
{[E]=\frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]-K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]-[E][I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}}}  \tag{298}\\
K_{\mathrm{I}}[E]=K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]-K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]-[E][I]  \tag{299}\\
K_{\mathrm{I}}[E]+[E]\left[I=K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]-K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]\right.  \tag{300}\\
{[E]=\frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]+/ K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]}{-K_{\mathrm{I}} \pm[I]}} \tag{301}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the above-derived result in equation. (291), we have RII

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[E S]=\frac{k_{1}[S]}{k_{-1}+k_{2}} \frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]-K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}+[I]}=\frac{[S]}{K_{m}} \frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right]-K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]}{K_{I}+[I]}}  \tag{302}\\
\text { (info@dalalinstitute.com }+91-9802825820) \\
{[E S] \Longrightarrow d{ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{1}\left[E_{0}\right][S]}  \tag{303}\\
K_{m} K_{1}+K_{m}[I]
\end{gather*}
$$

Now rearranging further for $[E S]$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]+K_{m}[I][E S]=K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right][S]-K_{\mathrm{H}}[E S][S]  \tag{304}\\
K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S]+K_{m}[L][E S]+K_{\mathrm{I}}[E S][S]=K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right][S]  \tag{305}\\
{[E S]=\frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right][S]}{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}+K_{m}[I]+K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}} \tag{306}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the rate of formation of product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=k_{2}[E S] \tag{307}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the value of $[E S]$ from equation (306) in equation (307), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2} K_{\mathrm{I}}\left[E_{0}\right][S]}{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}+K_{m}[I]+K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]} \tag{308}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]$ is $r_{\text {max }}$, the equation (308) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}+K_{m}[I]+K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]} \tag{309}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the reciprocal to get Lineweaver-Burk plot

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}+K_{m}[I]+K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}  \tag{310}\\
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}}{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}+\frac{K_{m}[I]}{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}+\frac{K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}[S]}  \tag{311}\\
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\left(\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }}+\frac{K_{m}[I]}{r_{\max } K_{\mathrm{I}}}\right) \frac{1}{[S]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{312}
\end{gather*}
$$

Rearranging further and using initial substrate concentration, we get

After comparing with equation without enzyme inhibition i.e. .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \times \frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{k_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }}=\int^{1} \tag{314}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is clear that the intercepts in both the equations are the same whereas the slope has been increased from $K_{m} / r_{\max }$ to $\left(K_{m} / r_{\max }\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)$. This implies that enzyme inhibition changed the $K_{m}$ to $K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)$.


Figure 5. Lineweaver-Burk plot for enzyme-catalyzed reaction with fully competitive enzyme inhibition.
2. Partially competitive inhibition: The partially competitive inhibition occurs when the enzyme $(E)$ binds with the substrate $(S)$ and inhibitor $(I)$ simultaneously, and complex $E S$ and $E I$ also combine with $I$ and $S$ to give $E I S$. Also, besides the enzyme-substrate complex ( $E S$ ), the complex $E I S$ will also convert into the product with the same rate of reaction. This whole process can be described mathematically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E+S \stackrel{K_{1}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E S \xrightarrow{k_{2}} E+P \tag{315}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
E+I & \stackrel{K_{2}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E I  \tag{316}\\
E I+S & \stackrel{K_{3}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E I S \tag{317}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E S+I \stackrel{K_{4}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E I S \tag{318}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to classical Michaelis-Menten, équation $K_{m}=\left(k_{2}+k_{-1}\right) / k_{1}$; however, if $k_{2} \ll k_{-1}$, we have $K_{m}=k_{-1} / k_{1}$ or $K_{m}=1 / K_{1}^{\prime}$. Now, set the following results


Following enzyme conservation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+[E S]+[E I]+[E I S] \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using values of $[E S],[E I]$ and $[E I S]$ from equation (320-322) into equation (324), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+\frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}}+\frac{[E][I]}{K_{2}}+\frac{[E][I][S]}{K_{2} K_{3}}}  \tag{325}\\
{[E]=\frac{K_{m}\left[E_{0}\right]}{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{2}\right)+[S]\left(1+K_{m}[I] / K_{2} K_{3}\right)}} \tag{326}
\end{gather*}
$$

The overall reaction rate of product formations should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=k_{2}[E S]+k_{2}[E I S] \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using values of $[E S]$ and $[E I S]$ from equation $(320,322)$ into equation (327), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=k_{2} \frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}}+k_{2} \frac{[E][I][S]}{K_{2} K_{3}} \tag{328}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $[E]$ from equation (326) in (328), and rearranging at initial substrate concentration

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left\{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{2}\right) /\left(1+K_{m}[I] / K_{2} K_{3}\right)\right\}+\left[S_{0}\right]} \tag{329}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]$ is $r_{\text {max }}$, equation (329) takes the following form after the reciprocal to get Lineweaver-Burk plot

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{\left\{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{2}\right) /\left(1+K_{m}[I] / K_{2} K_{3}\right)\right\}}{-\bar{r}_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{330}
\end{equation*}
$$

After comparing with equation without enzyme nhibition i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}} \frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{331}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is clear that intercepts in both the equations are the same whereas the slope has been varied from $K_{m} / r_{\max }$ to $\left\{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{2}\right) /\left(1+K_{m}[I] / K_{2} K_{3}\right)\right\} / r_{m a x}$ This implies that enzyme inhibition changed the $K_{m}$ to $\left\{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{2}\right) /\left(1+K_{m}[I] / K_{2} K_{3}\right)\right\}$.
www.dalalinstitute.com


Figure 6. The Lineweaver-Burk plot for partially competitive enzyme inhibition.

## Non-Competitive Inhibition

Non-competitive inhibition may simply be defined as the enzyme inhibition where the inhibitor decreases the activity of the enzyme catalysis and binds equally well to the enzyme whether or not it has already bound the substrate. The non-competitive enzyme inhibition can be classified into two types as discussed below.

1. Fully non-competitive inhibition: The fully non-competitive inhibition occurs when an enzyme ( $E$ ) binds with inhibitor ( $I$ ), and complex $E S$ and $E I$ also combine with $I$ and $S$ to give $E I S$. However, it is only the enzyme-substrate complex $(E S)$ that converts into the product. This whole process can be described mathematically as

 $K_{m}=k_{-1} / k_{1}$ or $K_{m}=1 / K_{1}^{\prime}$. Now, sett the following iresultsute.com

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{K_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{[E][S]}{[E S]}=\frac{[E][S]}{[E I S]}  \tag{336}\\
& K_{m}=\frac{[E /}{K_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{[E][L]}{[E I]}=\frac{[E S][I]}{[E I S]} \tag{337}
\end{align*}
$$

Following enzyme conservation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+[E S]+[E I]+[E I S]}  \tag{338}\\
& {[E]=\left[E_{0}\right]-[E S]-[E I]-[E I S]} \tag{339}
\end{align*}
$$

Using values of $[E S],[E I]$ and $[E I S]$ from equation (336-337) into equation (338), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+\frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}}+\frac{[E][I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}}+\frac{[E][I][S]}{K_{m} K_{\mathrm{I}}}}  \tag{340}\\
{[E]=\frac{\left[E_{0}\right]}{\left(1+[S] / K_{m}\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)}} \tag{341}
\end{gather*}
$$

The overall reaction rate of product formations should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=k_{2}[E S] \tag{342}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using values of [ES] from equation (336) into equation (342), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=k_{2} \frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}} \tag{343}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $[E]$ from equation (341) in (343), and rearranging at initial substrate concentration

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left(K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)} \tag{344}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]$ is $r_{\text {max }}$, the equation (344) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{r_{\max }\left[S_{0}\right]}{\left(K_{m}+\left[S_{0}\right]\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)} \tag{345}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the reciprocal to get Lineweaver-Burkpiot

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }}\left(1+\frac{[I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}}\right)-\frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }}\left(1+\frac{[I]}{K_{\mathrm{I}}}\right) \tag{346}
\end{equation*}
$$

After comparing with equation without enzyme inhibition i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (info@dalalingtitut } \frac{K_{m}<01 n}{}+91-9802825820 \text { ) } \tag{347}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be clearly seen that intercept is increased from $1 \downarrow r_{\max }$ to $\left(1 / r_{m a x}\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)$ whereas the $K_{m}$ has remained the same.


Figure 7. The Lineweaver-Burk plot for fully non-competitive enzyme inhibition.
2. Partially non-competitive inhibition: The partially non-competitive inhibition occurs when an enzyme ( $E$ ) binds with inhibitor ( $I$ ), and complex $E S$ and $E I$ also combine with $I$ and $S$ to give $E I S$. However, unlike fully non-competitive inhibition, besides the enzyme-substrate complex $(E S)$, the complex will also convert into the product. This whole process can be described mathematically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E+S \stackrel{K_{1}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E S \xrightarrow{k_{2}} E+P \tag{348}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E+I \stackrel{K_{2}^{\prime}}{\rightleftharpoons} E I \tag{349}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
and
and


According to classical Michaelis-Menten èquation $K_{m} \geqslant \neq\left(k_{2}+k_{-1}\right) k_{1}$; however, if $k_{2} \ll k_{-1}$, we have $K_{m}=k_{-1} / k_{1}$ or $K_{m}=1 / K_{1}^{\prime}$. Now, set the following results

$$
\begin{align*}
& N E l, S E[11  \tag{353}\\
& -K_{m}=\frac{14[E][S]}{K_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{2}{[E S]}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I}=\frac{1}{K_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{[E][I]}{[E I]} \tag{354}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}=\frac{1}{K_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{[E I][S]}{[E I S]} \tag{355}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I}=\frac{1}{K_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{[E S][I]}{[E I S]} \tag{356}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following enzyme conservation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+[E S]+[E I]+[E I S] \tag{357}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using values of $[E S],[E I]$ and $[E I S]$ from equation (353-356) into equation (357), we get the following expression.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{0}\right]=[E]+\frac{[E][S]}{K_{m}}+\frac{[E][I]}{K_{I}}+\frac{[E][I][S]}{K_{m} K_{I}} \tag{358}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
[E]=\frac{\left[E_{0}\right]}{\left(1+\left([S] / K_{m}\right)\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)\right.} \tag{359}
\end{equation*}
$$

The overall reaction rate of product formations should be- -

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=T k_{2}[E S]+k^{\prime} / E[S] \tag{360}
\end{equation*}
$$

After using the values of [ES] and [ESS] from equation (353-356) into equation (360), we get the following result.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (info@dalalinstitute.com, }+\AA^{\kappa}-9802825820 \text { ). } \tag{361}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting the value of $[E]$ from equation $(359)$ in ( 361 ), and rearranging at the initial substrate concentration

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{\left(k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right]+k^{\prime}\left[E_{0}\right]\left[S_{0}\right][2] / K_{I}\right) /\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right)}{\left.\overline{K_{m}}+\left[S_{0}\right] / S_{\text {K }}\right)} \tag{362}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $k_{2}\left[E_{0}\right]$ is $r_{\max }$ and then taking the reciprocal to get the Lineweaver-Burk plot, we get the following relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}\left(1+[I] / K_{\mathrm{I}}\right)}{\left(r_{\max }+k^{\prime}\left[E_{0}\right][I] / K_{I}\right)} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{\left(1+[I] / K_{\mathrm{I}}\right)}{\left(r_{\max }+k^{\prime}\left[E_{0}\right][I] / K_{I}\right)} \tag{363}
\end{equation*}
$$

After comparing the result given above with the general equation without enzyme inhibition, i.e., we can conclude some important points.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{0}}=\frac{K_{m}}{r_{\max }} \frac{1}{\left[S_{0}\right]}+\frac{1}{r_{\max }} \tag{364}
\end{equation*}
$$

it can be clearly seen that intercept is increased from $1 / r_{\max }$ to $\left(1+[I] / K_{I}\right) /\left(r_{\max }+k^{\prime}\left[E_{0}\right][I] / K_{I}\right)$ whereas the $K_{m}$ has remained the same.


Figure 8. The Lineweaver-Burk plot for partially non-competitive enzyme inhibition.


## Problems

Q 1. What are chemical chain reactions? Discuss their general kinetics.
Q 2. Derive and discuss the rate law for the decomposition of ethane.
Q 3. Define photochemical reactions. How they are different from thermochemical reactions?
Q 4. What is the photochemical quantum yield of a reaction? Derive and discuss the same for photochemical combination $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ case.

Q 5. Derive and discuss the Rice-Herzfeld mechanism of decomposition of Acetaldehyde.
Q 6. Define the chain length.
Q 7. Calculate the expression for the chain length for the dehydrogenation of ethane.
Q 8. What are the branching chain reactions? How they lead to the situation of the explosion?
Q 9. Derive and discuss the conventional Michaelis-Menten equation for enzyme-catalyzed reactions.
Q 10. How would you treat Michaelis-Menten equations to get Lineweaver-Burk plot?
Q 11. Discuss the Eadie-Hofstee method for the evaluation of Michaelis-Menten constant in enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

Q 12. What is enzyme inhibition? Discuss the fully competitive enzyme inhibition in detail.
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